

CITY OF OWOSSO EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM SEVENTY-SECOND ANNUAL ACTUARIAL VALUATION DECEMBER 31, 2016

CONTENTS

Section	Page	
	1-2	Introduction
A		Valuation Results
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10-13	Computed Contributions Timing of Contribution Payments Valuation Assets and Actuarial Accrued Liability Historical Comparison of Contingency Reserve Development of Unfunded Accrued Liability Employer Contribution History Development of Experience Gain (Loss) Historical comparative Schedules Actuarial Balance Sheet Comments
В		Valuation Data
	1-2 3-5 6 7-10 11 12	Brief Summary of Benefit Provisions Retired Life Data Inactive Member Data Active Member Data Development of Funding Value of Retirement System Assets Asset Information
С		Operation of the System
	1-2	Financial Objective
D		Valuation Methods and Assumptions
	1 2 3-8 9-10	Actuarial Cost Method Asset Valuation Method Actuarial Assumptions Glossary



One Towne Square Suite 800 Southfield, MI 48076-3723 248.799.9000 phone 248.799.9020 fax www.gabrielroeder.com

April 21, 2017

Board of Trustees City of Owosso Employees Retirement System Owosso, Michigan

Re: City of Owosso Employees Retirement System Actuarial Valuation as of December 31, 2016

Dear Board Members:

The results of the December 31, 2016 Annual Actuarial Valuation of the City of Owosso Employees Retirement System are presented in this report.

This report was prepared at the request of the Board and is intended for use by the Retirement System and those designated or approved by the Board. This report may be provided to parties other than the System only in its entirety and only with the permission of the Board. GRS is not responsible for unauthorized use of this report.

The purposes of the valuation are to measure the System's funding progress and to determine the employer contribution rate for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2017 in accordance with Board policy. A separate report will be issued that contains information needed for reporting under GASB Statements No. 67 and No. 68.

This report should not be relied on for any purpose other than the purposes described. Determinations of the financial results associated with the benefits described in this report in a manner other than the intended purpose may produce significantly different results.

The valuation was based upon information furnished by the City, concerning Retirement System benefits, financial transactions, plan provisions and active members, terminated members, retirees and beneficiaries. We checked for internal and year-to-year consistency, but did not audit the data. We are not responsible for the accuracy or completeness of the information provided by the City.

Future actuarial measurements may differ significantly from the current measurements presented in this report due to such factors as the following: plan experience differing from that anticipated by the economic or demographic assumptions; changes in economic or demographic assumptions; increases or decreases expected as part of the natural operation of the methodology used for these measurements (such as the end of an amortization period or additional cost or contribution requirements based on the plan's funded status); and changes in plan provisions or applicable law. Due to the limited scope of the actuary's assignment, the actuary did not perform an analysis of the potential range of such future measurements.

Board of Trustees April 21, 2017 Page 2

This report has been prepared by actuaries who have substantial experience valuing public employee retirement systems. To the best of our knowledge, the information contained in this report is accurate and fairly presents the actuarial position of the City of Owosso Employees Retirement System as of the valuation date. All calculations have been made in conformity with generally accepted actuarial principles and practices, with the Actuarial Standards of Practice issued by the Actuarial Standards Board and with applicable statutes.

Mark Buis and Laura Frankowiak are Members of the American Academy of Actuaries and meet the Academy's Qualification Standards to render the actuarial opinions contained herein.

The signing individuals are independent of the plan sponsor.

Gabriel, Roeder, Smith & Company believes that the actuarial assumptions used in this valuation are reasonable. Furthermore, we believe the funding policy is reasonable and is expected to fund the System benefits expected to be paid to members (based on the current assumptions). However, reasonable assumptions and funding policies do not guarantee benefit security. We recommend the Board consider benefit security whenever adopting contributions. We remind the Board that they are free to adopt larger contributions if they believe such larger contributions are warranted.

Respectfully submitted,

ennot & allest

Kenneth G. Alberts

KGA/MB/LF:mrb

Mark Bri

Mark Buis, FSA, FCA, EA, MAAA Laura Frankowiak, ASA, MAAA

La Fackle

SECTION A VALUATION RESULTS

Computed Contributions Expressed as Percents of Annual Pay for the Fiscal Year Beginning July 1, 2017 Using Entry Age Funding Method

			U			Fire		
Contributions for		General	P	olice		Dept.		Total
Number of Active Members		23		11		18		52
Actuarial Accrued Liabilities	\$	522,368,662	\$5	,823,140	\$	9,887,278	\$3	38,079,080
Assets		18,968,891	5	,469,122		9,117,539	3	33,555,552
Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liabilities		3,399,771		354,018		769,739		4,523,528
Total Normal Cost - %		13.84%		16.52%		17.29%		
Members' Contributions - %		6.00		10.00		8.00		
City's Normal Cost - %		7.84		6.52		9.29		
Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liabilities - %		36.43		5.23		6.90		
UAL Payment	\$	389,051	\$	35,929	\$	75,779	\$	500,759
City's Normal Cost - \$		83,725		44,774		101,970		230,469
TOTAL CITY CONTRIBUTIONS								
Effective 7/1/2017 - %	ía 🖌	44.27%	1	1.75%		16.19%		
Effective 7/1/2017 (Mid of FY contribution) - \$	\$	472,776	\$	80,703	\$	177,749	\$	731,228
Effective 7/1/2017 (End of FY contribution) - \$	\$	489,870	\$	83,659	\$	184,183	\$	757,712
Amortization Period (in years)		12		12		12		
For every \$1,000 of Contingency Reserve								
Released, the Employer Contribution Decreases*:	\$	0	\$	102	:	\$ 152	9	\$ 254

* The change in contingency reserve is dependent on the timing of the City's contributions.

Contributions shown above are based on the Board's current funding policy. The Board should regularly review/re-evaluate the funding policy. The Board is free to adopt higher employer contributions if it feels higher contributions are warranted.

Timing of Contribution Payments

The contribution requirements in this report anticipate regular payments throughout the year. Examples would be at each payroll date or in 12 monthly installments. If the employer contribution pattern is significantly different, an adjustment to the costs may be appropriate. For example, a lump sum contribution at the beginning of the year is available for investment throughout the year and, therefore, ought to be somewhat smaller than 12 monthly payments. Similarly, a lump sum contribution at the end of the year will not generate any investment income that year and so must be greater than 12 monthly payments. Examples of this are shown below using an interest rate equal to the valuation rate of investment return to adjust for timing differences:

	Each Payment	Total for Year
Lump Sum at Beginning of Fiscal Year (7/1/2017):	\$705,720	\$705,720
Lump Sum at End of Fiscal Year (6/30/2018):	757,712	757,712
Lump Sum at Middle of Fiscal Year (12/31/2017):	731,228	731,228
Twelve Monthly Installments (starting July 2017):	60,936	731,228

Illustration is based on the calculated mid-year contributions adjusted to the beginning of year or end of year based on simple interest, by division.

Valuation Assets and Actuarial Accrued Liability

In financing the actuarial accrued liabilities, the valuation assets of \$33,555,552 were distributed as shown below.

	Present Valuation Assets Applied to					
Reserves for	Member Actuarial Accrued Liabilities	Retired Life Actuarial Liabilities	Contingency Reserve	Totals		
Employees' Contributions	\$ 3,121,008			\$ 3,121,008		
Employer Contributions	707,907	\$ 1,033,089		1,740,996		
Retired Benefit Payments		26,576,604	\$838,929	27,415,533		
Undistributed Investment Income	1,278,015			1,278,015		
Totals	\$ 5,106,930	\$27,609,693	\$838,929	\$33,555,552		

		Continge	ncy Rese	rve						
Valuation Year										
2003*	\$ 332,1	25 \$	174,762	\$	660,099	\$ 1,166,986				
2004*	149,6	03	234,935		329,028	713,566				
2005	379,6	512	242,833		630,448	1,252,893				
2006	374,3	88	209,771		629,568	1,213,727				
2007	403,4	49	344,481		616,305	1,364,235				
2008	366,8	55	351,453		707,770	1,426,078				
2009	297,6	574	468,166		697,978	1,463,818				
2010	505,1	01	480,114		689,335	1,674,550				
2011	566,1	73	524,232		650,227	1,740,632				
2011#	424,6	30	393,174		487,670	1,305,474				
2012#@	366,1	48	481,920		425,067	1,273,135				
2013^	379,0	78	669,336		381,914	1,430,328				
2013^!	118,2	.58	575,524		178,077	871,859				
2014		-	583,098		271,295	854,393				
2015		-	602,246		211,785	814,031				
2016		-	616,498		222,431	838,929				

Historical Comparison of Contingency Reserve by Division

- * Prior to 2005, General Union and General Non-Union were summarized as General for purposes of the actuarial valuation. Prior to 2005, Police Command and Police Patrol were summarized as Police for purposes of the actuarial valuation. For the purpose of this exhibit, the combined General and Police groups pre-2005 have been summarized with General Union and Police Patrol respectively.
- # After the release of ¹/₄ of the contingency reserve as approved by the Board as of 12/31/2011.
- @ After transfer of \$74,000 from WWTP to Sewage.
- [^] Beginning in 2013, the WWTP, Sewage, General Union, General Non-Union, and Water groups are combined into one General Group. The Police Command and Police Patrol were also combined into one Police group for purposes of the actuarial valuation.
- ! After actuarial assumptions and/or methods revised.

Development of Unfunded Accrued Liability Using Entry Age Funding Method

	General	Police	Fire	Total
A. Accrued Liability				
1. For retirees and beneficiaries				
a. Retiree Liability	\$ 16,641,718	\$ 4,022,749	\$ 6,945,226	\$ 27,609,693
b. Contingency Reserve	0	616,498	222,431	838,929
2. For vested terminated members	969,980	0	0	969,980
3. For pending MERS transfer	0	0	0	0
4. For present active members				
a. Value of expected benefit payments	5,761,718	2,375,495	4,405,469	12,542,682
b. Value of future normal costs	1,004,754	1,191,602	1,685,848	3,882,204
c. Active member liability: (a) - (b)	4,756,964	1,183,893	2,719,621	8,660,478
5. Total	22,368,662	5,823,140	9,887,278	38,079,080
B. Present Assets (valuation basis)	18,968,891	5,469,122	9,117,539	33,555,552
C. Unfunded Accrued Liability				
(Excess Assets) as of 12/31/2016: (A.5) - (B)	3,399,771	354,018	769,739	4,523,528
D. Employer Normal Cost (for period 1/1/17 to 6/30/17)	42,736	18,673	52,935	114,344
E. Expected Employer Contribution Payable 6/30/2017	407,145	66,732	140,570	614,447
-				
F. Interest Adjustment to 6/30/2017	123,242	12,833	27,903	163,978
G. Projected Unfunded Accrued Liability (Excess Assets) as of 6/30/2017: (C) + (D) - (E) + (F)	3,158,604	318,792	710,007	4,187,403

_	City's Computed Contributions for				
Valuation		Policemen [^]			
Date	General	and			
December 31	Members	Firemen			
2004#(a)	0.00	0.00			
2005#(a)(b)	2.67	1.30			
2006(a)(b)	4.28	1.54			
2007(b)	2.53	3.88			
2008(b)	10.19	4.77			
2009#(b)	10.90	5.48			
2010(b)	18.43	9.21			
2011(a)(b)	25.99	15.83			
2012#	28.60	11.09			
2013(a)	29.27	9.63			
2014	33.57	10.74			
2015#	31.28	11.95			
2016	44.27	14.48			

Employer Contribution History

After benefit provisions changed.

(a) After actuarial assumptions and/or methods revised.

- (b) Closed groups financed using the Aggregate method.
- [^] The City's Contribution for Police Patrol has a maximum of 4% until the 2015 valuation. However, the contribution percentage on this page includes the additional contribution for the Police Command group, since the Policemen group includes both divisions.

Development of Experience Gain (Loss) Year Ended December 31, 2016

Actual experience will never (except by coincidence) exactly match assumed experience. It is hoped that *gains* and *losses* will cancel each other over a period of years, but sizable year-to-year fluctuations are common. Detail on the development of the experience gain (loss) is shown below.

		General	Police	Fire	Total
(1)	UAAL* at start of year	\$2,875,227	\$310,424	\$403,737	\$3,589,388
(2)	NC from last val: (Total)	143,915	105,821	182,265	432,001
(3)	Actual contributions: (Total)	538,108	114,864	210,366	863,338
(4)	Interest Accrual: [(1) + 1/2 [(2) - (3)]] x 0.0725	194,164	22,178	28,252	244,594
(5)	Expected UAAL before changes: $(1) + (2) - (3) + (4)$	2,675,198	323,559	403,888	3,402,645
(6)	Change from benefit improvements	0	0	0	0
(7)	Change from revised actuarial methods	0	0	0	0
(8)	Change from revised actuarial assum.	0	0	0	0
(9)	Expected UAAL after changes: $(5) + (6) + (7) + (8)$	\$2,675,198	\$323,559	\$403,888	\$3,402,645
(10)	Actual UAAL at end of year	3,399,771	354,018	769,739	4,523,528
(11)	Gain (Loss): (9) - (10)	(724,573)	(30,459)	(365,851)	(1,120,883)
(11a)	AAL at start of year	21,585,513	5,805,304	9,494,717	36,885,534
(12)	Gain (Loss) as percent of AAL# at start of year	(3.36%)	(0.52%)	(3.85%)	(3.04%)

* Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability

Actuarial Accrued Liabilities

Historical Comparative Schedules

SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Assets (a)	Entry Age Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) (b)	Unfunded AAL (b)-(a)	Funded Ratio (a)/(b)	Covered Payroll (c)	UAAL as a % of Covered Payroll ((b-a)/c)
2008	\$32,567,350	\$29,857,841	\$(2,709,509)	109.1 %	\$4,042,417	-
2009#	32,187,590	30,042,649	(2,144,941)	107.1	3,952,336	-
2010	31,529,473	31,251,375	(278,098)	100.9	3,672,267	-
2011*	29,624,891	33,523,677	3,898,786	88.4	3,746,852	104%
2012#	30,611,263	34,120,683	3,509,420	89.7	3,333,049	105%
2013*	31,913,449	35,821,262	3,907,813	89.1	3,108,992	126%
2014	32,558,582	36,714,271	4,155,689	88.7	2,938,821	141%
2015#	33,296,146	36,885,534	3,589,388	90.3	2,891,530	124%
2016	33,555,552	38,079,080	4,523,528	88.1	2,786,412	162%

* Revised actuarial assumptions and/or methods.

After benefit provisions changed.

SCHEDULE OF EMPLOYER CONTRIBUTIONS

Fiscal Year Ending June 30	Valuation Year Ended December 31	Contribution Rates as Percents of Valuation Payroll	Computed Dollar Contribution Based on Projected Valuation Payroll*	Actual Contribution	Percentage Contributed
2009	2007	3.02 %	\$ 128,117	\$ 128,117	100%
2010	2008	7.90	328,824	328,824	100
2011	2009	8.50	350,599	350,599	100
2012	2010	14.31	550,684	550,684	100
2013	2011	21.29	829,038	829,038	100
2014	2012	20.24	701,388	701,388	100
2015	2013	19.06	600,769	600,769	100
2016	2014	21.28	629,143	629,143	100
2017	2015	20.23	614,448		
2018	2016	25.64	757,712		

* End of year dollar amount is shown beginning fiscal year ending June 30, 2017.

The funded status shown above is not a measure of the plan's settlement costs. A funded status of 100% or above is not an indication of the need for future contributions. A funded status below 100% is an indication that future contributions are needed.

Actuarial Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2016

A. Accrued value of System assets:	
1. Net assets from System financial statements	\$31,715,358
2. Funding value adjustment	1,840,194
3. Valuation assets	33,555,552
 B. Present value of expected future employer contributions: 1. For normal costs 2. For unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities 3. Total 	1,899,558 4,523,528 6,423,086
C. Present value of expected future member contributions	1,982,646
D. Total Present and Expected Future Resources	\$41,961,284

A. To retirees and beneficiaries	\$28,448,622
B. To vested terminated members	969,980
C. To present active members:1. Allocated to service rendered prior to valuation date2. Allocated to service likely to be rendered after valuation date3. Total	8,660,478 3,882,204 12,542,682
D. Total Actuarial Present Value of Expected Future Benefit Payments	\$41,961,284

Comments

Comment A: The System was closed to General Union and Police Command Officers new entrants effective January 1, 2005 and General Non-Union new entrants effective January 1, 2006. The plan is open for Police Patrol and Fire groups.

Comment B: Experience during the year was less favorable than assumed. The primary sources of unfavorable experience were:

- Losses related to investment activity (the recognized rate of investment return was 6.51% compared with the assumed rate of investment return of 7.25%);
- Losses related to retiree mortality (1 death compared with 3.1 expected);
- Losses related to pay increases for Fire and Police divisions.
- Losses related to retirements (5 members actually retired compared with 1.6 expected).

As a result the funded status decreased to 88.1% on an actuarial value of assets basis and 83.3% on a market value of assets basis.

Comment C: The Retirement System currently has a contingency reserve of approximately \$839 thousand. This reserve is the excess of the Reserve for Retired Benefit Payments over the accrued liabilities for retirees and beneficiaries.

See page A-4 for additional details regarding the contingency reserve amounts by group.

Comment D: While there were no System changes since the last valuation, there were changes in the member contribution rate that had previously been adopted, but are becoming effective 7/1/2017. In particular, the member contribution rate for Police decreases by 1% to 10% and the member contribution rate for Fire increases by $\frac{1}{2}$ % to 8%. The net effect is an increase in the City's contribution of approximately \$1,400 for FY 2018. This completes the phase in of previously adopted changes.

Comment E: The computed Employer contribution effective July 1, 2017 is \$731,228, assuming periodic payments throughout the fiscal year or a lump sum payment in the middle of the fiscal year.

Comments

Comment F: The actuarial value of assets recognized a 6.51% rate of return, despite the market rate of return of 5.21%. This difference is due to the 4-year smoothing. The portion of this year's loss recognized in the actuarial value of assets was offset by the gains from prior years continuing to be recognized this year. As recognition of those prior gains are completed, there will be upward pressure on contributions as the remainder of this year's loss is recognized over the next 3 years.

Comment G: Forward look:

There are currently just below \$1 million of past investment losses scheduled to be recognized in next year's valuation. Unless offset by gains, this will likely result in an increase in next year's employer contribution of approximately \$120,000.

Comment H: Observations for next experience review:

- All assumptions continue to be reasonable.
- The industry trend on the mortality assumption is to move away from static projections of mortality improvements to generational projections of mortality improvement.

Comment I: The contingency reserve grew this year. However, since there was a mortality loss with no offsetting gains (related to retiree liabilities), the contingency reserve was expected to decrease. The contingency reserve is directly related to the development of the Reserve for Retired Benefit Payments (RRBP). We therefore attempted to reconcile the RRBP from December 31, 2015 to December 31, 2016. The chart on the following page shows our attempted reconciliation, assuming mid-year benefit payments and mid-year reserve transfers. A comparison of the expected 2016 balance to reported 2016 balance indicates the reported balance to be approximately \$300K higher than expected. While we did not expect to exactly reproduce the 2016 balances due to the simplifying mid-year assumption, we expected to come closer. Analysis using the prior investment return assumption of 7.5%, which more closely reproduces the balances for these groups that do not have new retirees. We therefore recommend that the development of the RRBP be reviewed.

Comments

								Expected				
		Reported		Reported		Reserve		Interest		Expected	Reported	
Division	20	2015 Reserve		Benefit payments		Transfers		(at 7.25%)	2016 Balance		2016 Reserve	
Police Patrol	\$	4,141,497	\$	347,998			\$	287,644	\$	4,081,143	\$	4,093,735
Police Command		504,953		42,339				35,074.30		497,688.30		499,212
Fire		6,756,582		747,123	\$	507,051		481,149.59		6,997,659.59		7,167,657
Other		14,234,347		1,467,116		1,695,791		1,040,279.63		15,503,301.63		15,654,929
Total	\$	25,637,379	\$	2,604,576	\$	2,202,842	\$	1,844,147	\$	27,079,792	\$	27,415,533

Using 7.50% Interest

								Expected			
		Reported		Reported		Reserve		Interest	Expected		Reported
Division	2015 Reserve		Benefit payments		Transfers		(at 7.50%)		2016 Balance	20	16 Reserve
Police Patrol	\$	4,141,497	\$	347,998			\$	297,562	\$ 4,091,061	\$	4,093,735
Police Command		504,953		42,339				36,283.76	498,897.76		499,212
Fire		6,756,582		747,123	\$	507,051		497,740.95	7,014,250.95		7,167,657
Other		14,234,347		1,467,116		1,695,791		1,076,151.34	15,539,173.34		15,654,929
Total	\$	25,637,379	\$	2,604,576	\$	2,202,842	\$	1,907,738	\$ 27,143,383	\$	27,415,533

ACTUARIAL DISCLOSURE: The contribution rate in this report is determined using the actuarial assumptions and methods disclosed in Section D of this report. This report includes certain risk metrics on page A-8, but does not include a more robust assessment of the risks of future experience not meeting the actuarial assumptions. Additional assessment of risks was outside the scope of this assignment. We encourage a review and assessment of investment and other significant risks that may have a material effect on the plan's financial condition.

Comments (Concluded)

OTHER OBSERVATIONS:

<u>General Implications of Contribution Allocation Procedure or Funding Policy on Future Expected</u> <u>Plan Contributions and Funded Status</u>

Given the plan's contribution allocation procedure, if all actuarial assumptions are met (including the assumption of the plan earning 7.25% on the actuarial value of assets), it is expected that:

- 1) employer normal cost amounts as a percentage of payroll will remain approximately level year-toyear;
- 2) the unfunded actuarial accrued liability will be fully amortized after 12 years; and
- 3) the funded status of the plan will increase gradually towards a 100% funded ratio.

Limitations of Funded Status Measurements

Unless otherwise indicated, a funded status measurement presented in this report is based upon the actuarial accrued liability and the actuarial value of assets. Unless otherwise indicated, with regards to any funded status measurements presented in this report:

- 1) The measurement is inappropriate for assessing the sufficiency of plan assets to cover the estimated cost of settling the plan's benefit obligations.
- 2) The measurement is inappropriate for assessing the need for or the amount of future employer contributions.
- 3) The measurement would produce a different result if the market value of assets were used instead of the actuarial value of assets, unless the market value of assets is used in the measurement.

SECTION B VALUATION DATA

Brief Summary of Benefit Provisions (December 31, 2016)

Regular Retirement (no reduction factor for age)

Eligibility - General* Non-Union: Age 60 with 10 or more years of service.

General* Union: Age 55 with 25 or more years of service or age 60 with 10 or more years of service.

Police: Age 50 with 25 or more years of service or age 55 with 10 or more years of service.

Fire: Any age with 25 or more years of service or age 55 with 10 or more years of service if hired prior to 6/30/93. Age 50 with 25 or more years of service or age 55 with 10 or more years of service if hired after 6/30/93.

Annual Amount - General* Non-Union: Final Average Compensation (FAC) times 2.5% for all years of service to a maximum 80% of FAC, effective 7/1/2010.

Fire: FAC times the sum of a) 2.80% for the first 25 years of service plus b) 1.0% for years of service in excess of 25 years to a maximum of 80% FAC.

Police: FAC times 2.80% for all years of service to a maximum 80% of FAC.

General* Union - FAC times 2.50% for all years of service to a maximum of 80% FAC.

Type of Final Average Compensation - Highest 3 consecutive years out of last 10. Some lump sums included.

* Includes WWTP, Water, and Sewage.

Deferred Retirement (vested benefit)

Eligibility - 10 or more years of service. Benefit begins at age 60.

Annual Amount - Computed as a regular retirement but based upon service and final average compensation at time of termination.

Duty Disability Retirement

Eligibility - No age or service requirements. Must be in receipt of worker's compensation.

Annual Amount - Computed as a regular retirement. Minimum benefit to age 65 is 20% of final average compensation. Upon termination of worker's compensation, additional service credit is granted and benefit is recomputed.

Non-Duty Disability Retirement

Eligibility - 10 or more years of service.

Annual Amount - Computed as a regular retirement. Minimum benefit to age 65 is 20% of final average compensation.

Duty Death Before Retirement

Eligibility - No age or service requirements. Must be in receipt of worker's compensation.

Annual Amount - Refund of accumulated contributions or, upon termination of worker's compensation, a benefit to the spouse, unmarried children under 18 and dependent parents equal to the worker's compensation payment.

Non-Duty Death Before Retirement

Eligibility - 10 or more years of service.

Annual Amount - Computed as a regular retirement but actuarially reduced in accordance with a 100% joint and survivor election.

Post-Retirement Increases

Annual increase - 1.4% of the base pension for the first 10 years of retirement.

Member Contributions

General, Police Non-Union	6.0% of annual compensation.
Fire	7.0% of annual compensation, 7.5% effective 7/1/2016, and 8.0% effective 7/1/2017.
Police Union	12.0% of annual contribution, employer responsible for the remainder. Effective 7/1/2016 employees annual contribution will decrease to 11.0%, employer responsible for the remainder. Effective 7/1/2017 employees annual contribution will decrease to 10.0%, employer responsible for the remainder.

Membership

General City Union (including WWTP, Water, and Sewage) employees hired on or after January 1, 2005, and General City Non-Union (including WWTP, Water, and Sewage) employees and Police Command Officers hired on or after January 1, 2006 are not covered by this Retirement System.

Retirants and Beneficiaries Comparative Statement

				Removed		olls End					
Valuation	Ad	ded to Rolls	f	rom Rolls	C	of Year	% Incr. in		Present	Active	Pensions
Date		Annual		Annual		Annual	Annual	Average	Value of	Per	as a % of
Dec. 31	No.	Pensions*	No.	Pensions	No.	Pensions	Pensions	Pension	Pensions	Retiree	Pay
1992	6	\$ 59,014	3	\$ 25,569	86	\$ 630,121	5.6%	\$ 7,327	\$6,455,404	1.2	20.41%
1993		12,468	2	4,508	84	638,081	1.3	7,596	6,383,541	1.2	19.78
1994	5	29,230	3	4,664	86	662,647	3.8	7,705	6,486,947	1.2	19.72
1995	2	46,143	3	7,064	85	701,726	5.9	8,256	6,918,988	0.8	28.87
1996	2	11,415	7	12,934	80	700,207	(0.2)	8,753	6,743,764	0.8	31.74
1997	2	47,931	2	25,613	80	722,975	3.3	9,037	6,856,333	0.8	29.57
1998	4	22,510	6	60,410	78	685,075	(5.2)	8,783	6,431,181	0.9	27.60
1999	3	96,306	2	2,583	79	778,798	7.7	9,858	7,416,876	0.8	29.81
2000	2	54,935	3	18,350	78	815,383	4.7	10,454	7,807,925	1.3	21.52
2001	8	171,244	4	42,562	82	944,065	15.8	11,513	9,172,050	1.2	24.78
2002	7	119,045	4	32,234	85	1,030,876	9.2	12,128	10,126,061	1.2	26.84
2003	1	17,294	10	31,998	76	1,016,172	(1.4)	13,371	9,841,684	1.3	25.45
2004	4	115,408	6	33,752	74	1,097,828	8.0	14,836	10,609,898	1.3	27.47
2005	3	62,062	3	22,700	74	1,137,190	3.6	15,367	10,861,853	1.3	27.32
2006	5	207,589	2	2,865	77	1,341,914	18.0	17,427	13,043,591	1.2	34.12
2007	3	125,438	7	37,612	73	1,429,740	6.5	19,585	13,864,399	1.2	35.28
2008	1	63,419	4	33,043	70	1,460,116	2.1	20,859	14,063,424	1.3	36.12
2009	4	95,927	2	29,187	72	1,526,856	4.6	21,206	14,688,020	1.2	38.63
2010	4	252,797	1	22,320	75	1,757,333	15.1	23,431	16,795,936	1.0	47.85
2011	4	133,694	3	26,612	76	1,864,415	6.1	24,532	17,718,104	1.0	49.76
2012	6	247,091	4	57,258	78	2,054,248	10.2	26,337	19,536,094	0.9	61.63
2013	10	312,029	5	57,844	83	2,308,433	12.4	27,812	23,305,303 @	0.8	74.25
2014	5	163,556	4	41,881	84	2,430,108	5.3	28,930	24,627,565	0.7	82.69
2015	5	135,504	6	78,885	83	2,486,727	2.3	29,961	24,926,664	0.7	86.00
2016	6	282,359	1	22,219	88	2,746,867	10.5	31,214	27,609,693	0.6	98.58

* Includes post retirement increases.

@ After changes in actuarial assumptions/methods.

Retirants and Beneficiaries as of December 31, 2016 Tabulated by Type of Pensions Being Paid

Type of Pensions Being Paid	No.	Annual Pension
Age and Service Pensions		
Regular pension - benefit		
terminating at death	36	\$1,339,616
Option A pension - 10-year		
certain and life thereafter	1	35,028
Option B pension - joint and		
last survivor benefit	19	493,961
Option C pension - modified		
joint and last survivor	15	597,267
Pension to survivor beneficiary		
of deceased retirant	12	153,818
Total age and service pensions	83	\$2,619,690
Casualty Pensions		
Duty disability		
Regular Pension		
Non-Duty disability		
Regular Pension	3	\$ 94,325
Pension to survivor beneficiary		
of deceased retirant		
Non-Duty disability	1	13,483
Pension to survivor beneficiary		
of deceased member		
Non-Duty death	1	19,369
Total casualty pensions	5	\$ 127,177
Total casually perisions		φ 127,177
Total Pensions Being Paid	88	\$2,746,867

	Age	and Service		Casualty		Totals
Attained		Annual		Annual		Annual
Age	No.	Allowances	No.	Allowances	No.	Allowances
50 - 54	2	\$ 91,929	1	\$ 13,483	3	\$ 105,412
55 - 59	9	322,023	1	21,182	10	343,205
60 - 64	18	624,998	2	80,900	20	705,898
65 - 69	14	649,629	1	11,612	15	661,241
70 - 74	8	306,272			8	306,272
77	4	162,109			4	162,109
78	2	41,696			2	41,696
79	3	87,624			3	87,624
80	4	97,068			4	97,068
81	4	96,400			4	96,400
82	1	1,721			1	1,721
83	2 1	23,624			2 1	23,624
84	1	1,669			1	1,669
86	3	43,431			3	43,431
89	1	16,646			1	16,646
90+	7	52,851			7	52,851
Totals	83	\$2,619,690	5	\$127,177	88	\$2,746,867

Retirants and Beneficiaries as of December 31, 2016 Tabulated by Attained Ages

Average Age at Retirement:58.4 years.Average Age Now:71.2 years.

Attained Ages	No.	Annual Deferred Pension
51	1	\$ 8,542
54	2	54,549
56	1	13,257
57	1	17,192
Totals	5	\$93,540

Inactive Members as of December 31, 2016 Tabulated by Attained Ages

Average Age Now: 55.1 years

Comparative Statement

Valuation	А	ctive M	embers		Vested			A	verage	
Date					Term.	Valuation				%
Dec. 31	General*	P-F	Water	Total	Member	Payroll	Age	Service	Pay	Change
1997	30	32	3	65	4	\$ 2,444,848	43.9	13.6	\$ 37,613	5.7%
1998	30	34	3	67	4	2,486,108	44.9	13.9	37,106	(1.3)
1999	30	34	3	67	4	2,612,348	43.6	13.1	38,990	5.1
2000	51	32	15	98	6	3,788,920	44.3	13.7	38,662	(0.8)
2001	48	34	15	97	6	3,809,203	43.2	12.8	39,270	1.6
2002	52	33	15	100	4	3,840,501	43.6	12.7	38,405	(2.2)
2003	50	34	14	98	3	3,993,163	44.8	13.6	40,747	6.1
2004	49	33	15	97	3	3,996,822	44.7	13.3	41,204	1.1
2005	49	34	15	98	3	4,162,066	45.1	13.6	42,470	3.1
2006	45	29	15	89	3	3,933,310	44.9	13.7	44,194	4.1
2007	43	33	14	90	4	4,052,300	47.0	14.9	45.026	1.9
2008	43	32	13	88	4	4,042,417	46.5	14.8	45,937	2.0
2009	40	32	11	83	3	3,952,336	46.4	15.4	47.619	3.7
2010	37	29	10	76	3	3,672,267	47.4	15.9	48,319	1.5
2011	36	31	10	77	3	3,746,852	47.2	15.5	48,660	0.7
2012	33	29	7	69	6	3,333,049	47.0	15.5	48.305	(0.7)
2012	29	30	5	64	6	3,108,992	45.6	14.1	48,578	0.6
2013	26	28	4	58	6	2,938,821	46.0	14.3	50,669	4.3
2011	20	20 29	*	56	6	2,891,530	45.6	13.8	51,634	1.9
2016	23	<u>2</u> 9	*	50 52	5	2,786,412	44.8	12.9	53,585	3.8

* Beginning with the December 31, 2015 valuation, General members includes all non-police/fire divisions.

Valuation payroll in 2009 was adjusted to account for 27 pay periods during the year. Valuation payroll in 2012 was adjusted to remove the one-time payout of unused sick leave for Firefighters.

Active Members Added to and Removed from Rolls

	Number		Те							
	Added	Noi	Normal			Died-in-		Other		Active
Year	During Year	Retir	Retirement 1		Disabled		vice	Withdrawal		Members
Ended	Α	Α	A E		Е	Α	Ε	Α	Ε	End of Year
2007	6	2	0.9		0.2		0.2	3	2.6	90
2008		1	2.7		0.2		0.2	1	2.7	88
2009		4	3.2		0.2		0.2	1	2.4	83
2010		4	1.2		0.2		0.2	3	2.1	76
2011	7	3	1.3		0.2	1	0.2	2	1.7	77
2012	3	5	1.9		0.2		0.2	6	2.2	69
2013	5	7	1.6	1	0.2		0.2	2	2.0	64
2014	2	4	1.5		0.2		0.1	4	1.8	58
2015	3	3	2.6		0.2		0.1	2	1.5	56
2016	3	5	1.6		0.2		0.1	2	1.5	52
2007-2016	29	38	18.5	1	2.0	1	1.7	26	20.5	

A represents actual number.

E represents expected number.

			Totals							
Attained		Ye	ears of Se	rvice on V	aluation	Date			Valuation	
Age	0-4	5-9	10-14	15-19	20-24	25-29	30 Plus	No.	Payroll	
30-34										
35-39			1					1	\$ 19,063	
40-44			2					2	112,622	
45-49						1		1	66,661	
50-54			1	5	1			7	300,533	
55-59			2	5	1	1	1	10	463,128	
60-64				1		1		2	117,627	
65-69										
Totals	0	0	6	11	2	3	1	23	\$1,079,634	

General Members as of December 31, 2016 By Age and Years of Service

While not used in the financial computations, the following group averages are computed and shown because of their general interest.

Group Averages:

Age: 53.2 years Service: 18.8 years Annual Pay: \$46,941

									Tot	als
Attained			Years of Se	ervice on `	Valuation	Date		_	aluation	
Age	0-4	5-9	10-14	15-19	20-24	25-29	30 Plus	No.		Payroll
20-24										
25-29	1	1						2	\$	119,239
30-34	2	1	1					4		235,589
35-39	2		2					4		237,485
40-44				1				1		64,553
45-49										
50-54										
55-59										
60-64										
Totals	5	2	3	1	0	0	0	11	\$	656,866

Police Members as of December 31, 2016 By Age and Years of Service

While not used in the financial computations, the following group averages are computed and shown because of their general interest.

Group Averages:

Age: 34.2 years Service: 6.9 years Annual Pay: \$59,715

									Totals
Attained		Years of Service on Valuation Date						Valuation	
Age	0-4	5-9	10-14	15-19	20-24	25-29	30 Plus	No.	Payroll
20-24	2							2	\$ 94,165
25-29	2							2	99,232
30-34		1						1	60,037
35-39		1	1					2	104,370
40-44	2	2						4	243,107
45-49			1	1	1			3	177,264
50-54				2	1			3	208,782
55-59				1				1	62,955
60-64									
Totals	6	4	2	4	2	0	0	18	\$ 1,049,912

Fire Department Members as of December 31, 2016 By Age and Years of Service

While not used in the financial computations, the following group averages are computed and shown because of their general interest.

Group Averages:

Age: 40.7 years Service: 9.2 years Annual Pay: \$58,328

Development of Funding Value of Retirement System Assets

Year Ended December 31:	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
A. Funding Value Beginning of Year	\$32,558,582	\$33,296,146			
B. Market Value End of Year	31,948,137	31,715,358			
C. Market Value Beginning of Year	33,683,719	31,948,137			
D. Non-Investment Net Cash Flow	(1,670,920)	(1,847,698)			
Investment Income %	7.25%	7.25%			
E. Investment Income					
E1. Market Total: B-C-D	(64,662)	1,614,919			
E2. Amount for Immediate Recognition	2,299,926	2,346,992			
E3. Amount for Phased-In Recognition: E1-E2	(2,364,588)	(732,073)			
F. Phased-In Recognition of Investment Income					
F1. Current Year: 0.25 x E3	(591,147)	(183,018)			
F2. First Prior Year	(108,845)	(591,147)	\$ (183,018)		
F3. Second Prior Year	643,121	(108,845)	(591,147)	\$ (183,018)	
F4. Third Prior Year	165,429	643,122	(108,845)	(591,147)	\$ (183,019)
F5. Total Recognized Investment Gain	108,558	(239,888)	(883,010)	(774,165)	(183,019)
G. Funding Value End of Year: A+D+E2+F5	\$33,296,146	\$33,555,552			
H. Difference between Market & Funding Value	\$ (1,348,009)	\$ (1,840,194)			
I. Funding Value Recognized Rate of Return	7.59%	6.51%			
J. Market Value Recognized Rate of Return	(0.20)%	5.21%			

The Funding Value of Assets recognizes assumed investment income (line E2) fully each year. Differences between actual and assumed investment income (line E3) are phased-in over a closed 4-year period. During periods when investment performance exceeds the assumed rate, Funding Value of Assets will tend to be less than Market Value. During periods when investment performance is less than the assumed rate, Funding Value of Assets will tend to be greater than Market Value. The Funding Value of Assets is unbiased with respect to Market Value. At any time it may be either greater or less than Market Value. If actual and assumed rates of retirement are exactly equal for 3 consecutive years, the Funding Value will become equal to Market Value.

Summary of Asset Information as of December 31, 2016 Furnished for Valuation

Valuation Assets (Funding	g Value)	Reserves	
Cash & Equivalents	\$ 101,682	Employees' Contributions	\$ 3,121,008
U.S. Notes & Bills	0	Employer Contributions	(99,198)
Short-term Investment Funds	601,726	Retired Benefit Payments	27,415,533
Common Stocks	21,014,383	Unallocated Reserves	1,278,015
Preferred Stocks	87,375		
Equities - Other	1,691,692		
Bonds	8,237,749		
Accounts Payable	(19,249)		
Net System Assets (market value)	\$31,715,358	Total Reserves	\$31,715,358
Funding Value Adjustment	1,840,194	Funding Value Adjustment	1,840,194
Total Valuation Assets	\$33,555,552	Total Valuation Assets	\$33,555,552

BALANCE SHEET

REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES

	2016	2015
Valuation Assets - January 1	\$33,296,146	\$32,558,582
Revenues		
Employees' contributions	234,195	238,860
Employer contributions	629,143	600,769
Net Investment income	2,107,104	2,408,484
Expenditures		
Benefit payments	2,604,576	2,504,312
Refund of member contributions	106,460	6,237
Valuation Assets - December 31	\$33,555,552	\$33,296,146

SECTION C OPERATION OF THE SYSTEM

Financial Objective

Benefit Promises Made Which Must Be Paid For. A retirement system is an orderly means of handing out, keeping track of, and financing contingent pension promises to a group of employees. As each member of the retirement program acquires a unit of service credit they are, in effect, handed an "IOU" which reads: *"The Employees Retirement System promises to pay you one unit of retirement benefits, payments in cash commencing when you retire."*

The principal related financial question is: *When shall the money required to cover the ''IOU'' be contributed?* This year, when the benefit of the member's service is received? Or, some future year when the "IOU" becomes a cash demand?

The Constitution of the State of Michigan is directed to the question:

"Financial benefits arising on account of service rendered in each fiscal year shall be funded during that year and such funding shall not be used for financing unfunded accrued liabilities."

This Retirement System meets this constitutional requirement by having the following *Financial Objective: To establish and receive contributions, expressed as percents of active member payroll, which will remain approximately level from year to year* and will not have to be increased for future generations of taxpayers.

Translated into actuarial terminology, a level percent-of-payroll contribution objective means that the contribution rate must be at least:

Normal Cost (the present value of benefits likely to be paid on account of members' service being rendered in the current year).

... plus ...

Interest on the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (the difference between the actuarial accrued liability and current system assets).

If contributions to the retirement system are less than the preceding amount, the difference, plus investment earnings not realized thereon, will have to be contributed at some later time, or, benefits will have to be reduced, to satisfy the fundamental fiscal equation under which all retirement systems must operate; that is:

$$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{C} + \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{E}$$

Benefit payments to any group of members and their beneficiaries cannot exceed the sum of:

<u>Contributions</u> received on behalf of the group ... plus ...

Investment earnings on contributions received and not required for immediate payment of benefits ... minus ...

Expenses incurred in operating the program.

There are retirement systems designed to defer the bulk of contributions far into the future. The present contribution rate for such systems is artificially low. The fact that the contribution rate is destined to increase relentlessly to a much higher level is often ignored. *This method of financing is prohibited in Michigan by the state constitution*.

A by-product of the level percent-of-payroll contributions objective is the accumulation of invested assets. Investment income on accumulated assets becomes a major contributor to the retirement system, and the amount is directly related to the amount of contributions and investment performance.

Computed Contribution Rate Needed To Finance Benefits. From a given schedule of benefits and from the data furnished, the actuary calculated the contribution rate *by means of an actuarial valuation* - the technique of assigning monetary values to the risks assumed in operating a retirement system.

SECTION D VALUATION METHODS AND ASSUMPTIONS

Actuarial Cost Method

Normal cost and the allocation of benefit values between service rendered before and after the valuation date was determined using an individual entry-age normal cost method having the following characteristics:

- The annual normal costs for each individual active member, payable from the date of employment to the date of retirement, are sufficient to accumulate the value of the member's benefit at the time of retirement; death or disability;
- Each annual normal cost is a constant percentage of the member's year by year projected covered pay.

UAAL (as well as Assets in excess of Actuarial Accrued Liabilities) were amortized by over a 12-year closed period (from July 1, 2017). The amortization method was level percent-of-payroll for the open groups and level dollar for the closed groups.

Unless otherwise noted, the rationale for all assumption and methods was the 2013 method and assumption review. Assumptions are forward looking.

Asset Valuation Method

An essential step in the valuation process is comparing valuation assets with computed liabilities. Valuation assets are those assets that are recognized for funding purposes.

Asset valuation methods are distinguished by the timing of the recognition of investment income. Total investment income is the sum of ordinary income and capital value changes. Under a pure market value approach, ordinary investment income and all capital value changes would be recognized immediately. Because of market volatility, use of pure market values in retirement funding can result in volatile contribution rates and unstable financial ratios, contrary to management objectives.

Under the current asset valuation method (see page B-11), assumed investment return is recognized fully each year. Differences between actual and assumed investment return are phased-in over a closed 4-year period. During periods when investment performance exceeds the assumed rate, the funding value will tend to be less than the market value. Conversely, during periods when investment performance is less than the assumed rate, funding value will tend to be greater than market value.

Member Data

Member Data was submitted by the Treasurer and was found to be reasonable and complete. After review and reconciliation, we submitted a few minor questions. The result was clarification and one status change. No other changes were made to the data submitted by the treasurer.

Actuarial Assumptions Used for the Valuations

The contribution requirements and benefit values of the System are calculated by applying actuarial assumptions to the benefit provisions and people information furnished, using the actuarial cost method described on the previous page.

The principal areas of financial risk which require assumptions about future experiences are:

- Long-term rates of investment return to be generated by the assets of the Fund;
- Patterns of pay increases to members;
- Rates of mortality among members, retirants and beneficiaries;
- Rates of withdrawal of active members (without entitlement to a retirement benefit);
- Rates of disability among members; and
- The age patterns of actual retirements.

The monetary effect of each assumption is calculated for as long as a present covered person survives - - - a period of time which can be as long as a century.

Actual experience of the System will not coincide exactly with assumed experience, regardless of the choice of the assumptions. Each valuation provides a complete recalculation of assumed future experience and takes into account all past differences between assumed and actual experience. The result is a continual series of adjustments (usually small) to the computed contribution rate.

From time to time it becomes appropriate to modify one or more of the assumptions, to reflect experience trends (but not random year to year fluctuations).

The assumed rate of investment return was 7.25% (net of expenses) a year, compounded annually. This assumption is used to make money payable at one point in time equal in value to an amount of money payable at another point in time. The assumed real rate of return (the net return in excess of the wage inflation rate) was 4.25%. Economic experience during the last 5 years has been as follows:

		Year En	ding Dece	mber 31		5-Year
	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012	Average
1) Nominal rate of return*	6.5%	7.6%	7.0%	8.3%	8.0%	7.5%
2) Increase in CPI	2.1	0.7	0.8	1.5	1.7	1.4%
3) Average salary increase	3.8	1.9	4.3	0.6	(0.7)	2.0%
4) Real return						
- investment purposes						6.1%
- funding purposes						5.5%
- assumption						4.25%

* The nominal rate of return was computed using the approximate formula: i = I divided by 1/2 (A+B-I), where I is realized investment income net of expenses, A is the beginning of year asset value and B is the end of year asset value.

The rates of salary increase used for individual members are in accordance with the following table. This assumption is used to project a member's current salary to the salaries upon which benefit amounts will be based.

	ry Increase A r an Individua	-	S	alary Increas for an Individ	-	
Years of	Base	Merit & Seniority	Years of	Base	Merit &	Seniority
Service	(Economic)	General	Service	(Economic)	Police	Fire
1	3.0%	0.0%	1	3.0%	10.0%	5.0%
2	3.0%	0.0%	2	3.0%	5.0%	4.5%
3	3.0%	0.0%	3	3.0%	1.5%	4.0%
4	3.0%	0.0%	4	3.0%	1.0%	3.5%
5	3.0%	0.0%	5	3.0%	0.8%	3.0%
6	3.0%	0.0%	6	3.0%	0.5%	2.5%
7 & Up	3.0%	0.0%	7 & Up	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%

If the number of active members remains constant, then the total active member payroll will increase 3.0% annually, the base portion of the individual salary increase assumptions.

The rate of price inflation was assumed to be 2.5%. Although this assumption is not directly used in the valuation, it was used to determine the reasonable range for the investment return assumption.

The real wage growth was assumed to be 0.5%, resulting in a total wage inflation assumption of 3.0%, as shown in the salary increase tables.

The rate of payroll growth was assumed to be 3.0% for the open groups. This assumption was used to finance UAAL for the open groups (level dollar financing was used for the closed groups).

These economic assumptions were first used for the December 31, 2013 valuation.

Sample	Present V	alue of \$1	Futu	re Life	
Attained	Monthly	for Life	Expectancy (years)		
Ages	Men	Women	Men	Women	
45	\$154.72	\$156.27	37.54	39.46	
50	148.84	150.73	32.77	34.63	
55	140.89	143.37	28.04	29.88	
60	130.74	134.14	23.47	25.31	
65	118.50	123.10	19.17	21.02	
70	104.41	110.47	15.22	17.06	
75	88.00	96.22	11.58	13.47	
80	70.35	80.35	8.42	10.23	

The mortality table used was the RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table projected to 2020 using Projection Scale AA. A margin for future mortality improvements is contained in the projection.

This assumption is used to measure the probabilities of members dying before retirement and the probabilities of each benefit payment being made after retirement. For valuation purposes, pre-retirement deaths are assumed to be non-duty. For disability purposes, the mortality is set forward ten years.

This assumption was first used for the December 31, 2013 valuation.

The rates of retirement used to measure the probability of eligible members retiring during the next year were as follows:

Retirement	General, Sewage, W	ater, and WWTP		
Ages	Non-Union	Union	Police	Fire
45-49			20%	20%
50			20%	20%
51			20%	15%
52			20%	10%
53			20%	10%
54			20%	10%
55	20%	20%	20%	10%
56	15%	15%	20%	10%
57	10%	10%	20%	10%
58	10%	10%	20%	10%
59	10%	10%	20%	20%
60	10%	10%	100%	100%
61	10%	10%		
62	15%	15%		
63	25%	25%		
64	30%	30%		
65	100%	100%		

Percent of Eligible Active Members Retiring within Next Year

This assumption was first used for the December 31, 2013 valuation.

Rates of separation from active membership were as shown below (rates do not apply to members eligible to retire and do not include separation on account of death or disability). This assumption measures the probabilities of members remaining in employment.

Sample	Years of		Active Me parating wi One Year	thin
Ages	Service	General	Fire	Police
ALL	0	20.00%	12.00%	20.00%
	1	15.00%	9.00%	15.00%
	2	10.00%	7.00%	10.00%
	3	8.00%	5.00%	8.00%
	4	7.00%	4.50%	7.00%
25	5 & Over	4.50%	4.50%	6.75%
30		3.90%	4.35%	5.85%
35		2.30%	3.50%	3.45%
40		0.90%	2.10%	1.35%
45		0.50%	1.00%	0.75%
50		0.50%	0.62%	0.75%
55		0.50%	0.50%	0.75%
60		0.50%	0.50%	0.75%

This assumption was first used for the December 31, 2013 valuation.

Rates of disability were as follows:

	% of Active Memb	ers Becoming
	Disabled within	Next Year
Sample	General, Water,	Police
Ages	WWTP & Sewage	and Fire
20	0.02%	0.05%
25	0.02%	0.08%
30	0.02%	0.12%
35	0.03%	0.21%
40	0.07%	0.31%
45	0.13%	0.46%
50	0.27%	0.73%
55	0.44%	1.23%
60	0.67%	1.77%
65	1.00%	1.58%

For valuation purposes, pre-retirement disabilities are assumed to be non-duty.

This assumption was first used for the December 31, 2013 valuation.

Summary of Assumptions

Marriage Assumption:	100% of males and females are assumed to be married for purposes of death-in-service benefits. Male spouses are assumed to be three years older than female spouses.
Decrement Timing:	Normal Retirement is assumed to occur at the beginning of the year and all other decrements are assumed to occur at the end of the year.
Eligibility Testing:	Eligibility for benefits is determined based upon the age nearest birthday and service nearest whole year on the date the decrement is assumed to occur.
Benefit Service:	Exact fractional service is used to determine the amount of benefit payable.
Decrement Relativity:	Decrement rates are used directly from the experience study, without adjustment for multiple decrement table effects.
Decrement Operation:	Disability and mortality decrements do not operate during the first 5 years of service. Disability and turnover do not operate during retirement eligibility.
Normal Form of Benefit:	The assumed normal form of benefit is the straight life form.
Liability Adjustments:	Active member liabilities and normal costs were increased by 10% for Police, 7% for Fire hired before 6/30/1993, 4% for Fire hired after 6/30/1993, and 8% for all others to model end of career payments that are included in final average compensation (such as sick leave payouts).
Incidence of Contributions:	Contributions are assumed to be received continuously throughout the year.
Police Patrol Refund Cost:	Normal cost and accrued liabilities for Police Patrol refunds were based on an estimated long-term member contribution rate of 10%.
Fire Refund Cost:	Normal cost and accrued liabilities for Fire refunds were based on an estimated long-term member contribution rate of 8.0%.

Glossary

Actuarial Accrued Liability. The difference between (i) the actuarial present value of future plan benefits, and (ii) the actuarial present value of future normal cost. Sometimes referred to as "accrued liability" or "past service liability."

Accrued Service. The service credited under the plan which was rendered before the date of the actuarial valuation.

Actuarial Assumptions. Estimates of future plan experience with respect to rates of mortality, disability, turnover, retirement, rate or rates of investment income and salary increases. Decrement assumptions (rates of mortality, disability, turn-over and retirement) are generally based on past experience, often modified for projected changes in conditions. Economic assumptions (salary increases and investment income) consist of an underlying rate in an inflation-free environment plus a provision for a long-term average rate of inflation.

Actuarial Cost Method. A mathematical budgeting procedure for allocating the dollar amount of the "actuarial present value of future plan benefits" between the actuarial present value of future normal cost and the actuarial accrued liability. Sometimes referred to as the "actuarial funding method."

Actuarial Equivalent. A single amount or series of amounts of equal value to another single amount or series of amounts, computed on the basis of the rate(s) of interest and mortality tables used by the plan.

Actuarial Present Value. The amount of funds presently required to provide a payment or series of payments in the future. It is determined by discounting the future payments at a predetermined rate of interest, taking into account the probability of payment.

Amortization. Paying off an interest-bearing liability by means of periodic payments of interest and principal, as opposed to paying it off with a lump sum payment.

Experience Gain (Loss). A measure of the difference between actual experience and that expected based upon a set of actuarial assumptions during the period between two actuarial valuation dates, in accordance with the actuarial cost method being used.

Funding Value of Assets. The value of assets derived by spreading the difference between actual investment return and expected investment return in equal dollar installments over four years. This treatment removes the timing of investment activities from the valuation process.

Normal Cost. The annual cost assigned, under the actuarial funding method, to current and subsequent plan years. Sometimes referred to as "current service cost." Any payment toward the unfunded actuarial accrued liability is not part of the normal cost.

Reserve Account. An account used to indicate that funds have been set aside for a specific purpose and are not generally available for other uses.

Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability. The difference between the actuarial accrued liability and valuation assets. Sometimes referred to as "unfunded accrued liability."



One Towne Square Suite 800 Southfield, MI 48076-3723

April 21, 2017

Ms. Andrea K. Smith City Treasurer City of Owosso Employees Retirement System City Hall 301 W. Main Street Owosso, Michigan 48867-2958

Dear Ms. Smith:

Enclosed are thirteen copies of the Seventy-Second Annual Actuarial Valuation report for the City of Owosso Employees Retirement System.

Sincerely yours,

Kenned & allet

Kenneth G. Alberts

KGA:mrb

cc: Mr. Doug Deeter (Rehmann Robson) E-Mail Ms. Christine M. Wilson (Graystone Consulting) E-Mail